



KERALA GAZETTE

കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. LVII വാല്യം 57	THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ	19th June 2012 2012 ജൂൺ 19 29th Jyaishta 1934 1934 ജ്യേഷ്ഠം 29	No. നമ്പർ	25
------------------------	---	---	--------------	----

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G O. (Rt.) No. 710/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 15th May 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) The Chief Executive Officer, Travancore Rubber and Tea Company Ltd., Registered Office, P. B. No. 1053, Pattom Palace P. O., Thiruvananthapuram-695 004 (2) The Manager, Travancore Rubber and Tea Company Ltd., Manikkal Estate, Mundakkayam P. O., Pin-686 513 and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Hill Range Estate Employees Association (CITU), 35th Mile, Mundakkayam East, Idukki District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

- Whether the dismissal of Sri Thankan George, C. R. No. 278 worker in Manikkal Division of Manikkal Estate, the Travancore Rubber and Tea Company Ltd., Mundakkayam with effect from 7-9-2011 by the management is justifiable?
- If not, what relief the workman is entitled to?

(2)

G O. (Rt.) No. 732/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th May 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Smt. S. Jayasree, ARS Traders, ARS Complex, Kattakkada Road, Balaramapuram

and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri B. R. Vijayan, Karthik, Vadakkevila, Balaramapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the termination of service of Sri B. R. Vijayan, workman by the management is justifiable? If not, what are the reliefs he is entitled to?

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 733/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th May 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) The Chief Executive Officer, Travancore Rubber and Tea Company Ltd., Registered Office, P. B. No. 1053, Pattom Palace P. O., Thiruvananthapuram-695 004 (2) The Manager, Travancore Rubber and Tea Company Ltd., Manikkal Estate, Mundakkayam P. O., Pin-686 513 and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Hill Range Estate Employees Association (CITU), 35th Mile, Mundakkayam East, Idukki District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Sri Chandrababu, C. R. No. 1061, worker in Valliyankavu Division of Kuppakkayam Estate, The Travancore Rubber and Tea Company Ltd., Mundakkayam with effect from 7-9-2011 by the management is justifiable?
2. If not, what relief the workman is entitled to?

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 735/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th May 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Manoj Thomas, Mannooparambil, Pulikkalkavala P. O., Vazhoor and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri P. J. Thomas, Payyampallil, Pulikkalkavala P. O., Vazhoor in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the denial of employment to Sri P. J. Thomas, Machine Operator in Abeyson Ploymers by the management is justifiable?
2. If not, what relief the workman is entitled to?

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 737/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th May 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Dr. Sudha Hareendra Babu, Proprietress, Pranavam Hospital, Near 66 K. V. Substation, T. B. Junction, Kottarakkara P. O. and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by Sri G. Jayaprakash, General Secretary, Private Hospital Employees Association of Kerala (INTUC), Mundaykal, Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Anitha Kumari, Receptionist cum Bill Clerk with effect from 12-9-2011 by the management of Pranavam Hospital, Near 66 K. V. Substation, T. B. Junction, Kottarakkara is justifiable ? If not, what relief she is entitled to get ?

(6)

G O. (Rt.) No. 738/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th May 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Archana College of Engineering, Nooranad, Palamel P. O., Alappuzha and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Sivan Pillai, R., Kalloor House, Puthukkad P. O., Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Sivan Pillai, R., Lab Technician in Archana College of Engineering by the management is justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to ?

(7)

G O. (Rt.) No.741/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 17th May 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Assistant Executive Engineer, P.H. Division, Kerala Water Authority, Vazhichery Ward, Alappuzha and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri S. Joseph, S/o K. T. Sebastian, Ayyanatt Veedu, Chathanad, Alappuzha in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri S. Joseph, Security Worker by the management of Kerala Water Authority, P. H. Division, Alappuzha with effect from 4-9-2004 is justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(8)

G O. (Rt.) No. 742/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 17th May 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Dr. Abraham Thayyil, Sahrudaya Hospital, Thathampally P. O., Alappuzha-688 013 and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. Susamma Babu, Pathuparambil, Asram Ward, Avalookunnu P. O., Alappuzha in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Susamma Babu in Sahrudaya Hospital is justifiable ? If not, what relief she is entitled to ?

(9)

G O. (Rt.) No. 750/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 18th May 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) Sri Abdul Aziz, Managing Partner, Kakkat Medicals, Court Road, Manjeri, Malappuram District, (2) Sri Aboobeker, Partner, Kakkat Medicals, Court Road, Manjeri, Malappuram District and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri U. K. Gangadharan Nambiar (Pharmacist), Kunjoth House, Kidavoor, Thamarassery P. O., Kozhikode in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the termination of service of Sri U. K. Gangadharan Nambiar, Pharmacist from 1-4-2011 by the management of Kakkat Medicals, Manjeri is justifiable ? If not, what relief is the remedy ?

(10)

G O. (Rt.) No. 751/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 18th May 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the President, India Coffee Board Workers Co-operative Society Ltd. No. 4227, P. B. No. 184, Thrissur-680 001 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri P. T. Jojo, Pulimootil House, Kunneparamb, Puthuppally P. O., Kottayam-686 011 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication

to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Sri P. T. Jojo, H. A.-Pantryman, Pulimootil House, Kunneparamb, Puthuppally P. O., Kottayam by the management of India Coffee Board Workers Co-operative Society Ltd. No. 4227, P. B. No. 184, Thrissur is justifiable ?
2. If not, what relief he is entitled to get ?

(11)

G O. (Rt.) No. 752/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 18th May 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) The Manager, AMLP School, Cheekiliode, Atholi, Kozhikode District, (2) The PTA President, AMLP School, Cheekiliode, Atholi, Kozhikode District, (3) The Headmaster, AMLP School, Cheekiliode, Atholi, Kozhikode District and the workman of the above referred establishment Smt. Savithri, Manamkandathil, Cheekiliode, Atholi, Kozhikode District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Savithri, Manamkandathil by the management of AMLP School, Cheekiliode is justifiable ? If not, what relief she is entitled to ?

(12)

G O. (Rt.) No. 753/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 18th May 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the President/ General Manager, Indira Gandhi Co-operative Hospital, Manjodi,

Thalasseri and the Worker of the above referred establishment Smt. K. K. Roopa, D/o. Padmanabhan, Illammoola, Mattannur P. O. in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. K. K. Roopa, Biochemist, by the management of Indira Gandhi Co-operative Hospital, Manjodi with effect from 8-11-2011 is justifiable ? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to ?

(13)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 759/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 18th May 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri E. K. Janardhanan, President, Sayahnam Printing & Publishing Co-operative Society, Kunhikattil House, Vadakkumbad, Thalassery and the Worker of the above referred establishment represented by Smt. K. Ranjini, Meethale Othayoth House, East Palloor, Chokli in Respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. K. Ranjini, Compositor, with effect from 12-9-2010 by the management of 'Sayahnam' Printing and publishing Co-operative Society, Thalassery is justifiable ? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to ?

(14)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 760/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 18th May 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) the Director, Vaigai Thread Processors Pvt. Ltd., Koratty P. O., Thrissur (2) the Industrial Relations Manager, Vaigai Thread Processors Pvt. Ltd., Koratty P. O., Thrissur and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by (1) the President, Jamuna Thread Mills Labour Union, Koratty P. O., Thrissur (2) the Secretary, Madhura Coats Employees Union, Koratty P. O., Thrissur (3) the Secretary, Madhura Coats Workers Union, Koratty P. O., Thrissur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the demand for wage hike of workers of M/s Vaigai Thread Processors Pvt. Ltd., Koratty, Thrissur is justifiable ?
2. If not, what relief they are entitled to ?

(15)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 812/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 30th May 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Dr. Gopakumar, Kumar Hospital, Thevally P. O., Kollam and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Kollam District Private Hospital Employees Union, CITU, CITU Bhavan, Kollam-13 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication

Thalasseri and the Worker of the above referred establishment Smt. K. K. Roopa, D/o. Padmanabhan, Illammoola, Mattannur P. O. in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. K. K. Roopa, Biochemist, by the management of Indira Gandhi Co-operative Hospital, Manjodi with effect from 8-11-2011 is justifiable ? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to ?

(13)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 759/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 18th May 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri E. K. Janardhanan, President, Sayahnam Printing & Publishing Co-operative Society, Kunhikattil House, Vadakkumbad, Thalassery and the Worker of the above referred establishment represented by Smt. K. Ranjini, Meethale Othayoth House, East Palloor, Chokli in Respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. K. Ranjini, Compositor, with effect from 12-9-2010 by the management of 'Sayahnam' Printing and publishing Co-operative Society, Thalassery is justifiable ? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to ?

(14)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 760/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 18th May 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) the Director, Vaigai Thread Processors Pvt. Ltd., Koratty P. O., Thrissur (2) the Industrial Relations Manager, Vaigai Thread Processors Pvt. Ltd., Koratty P. O., Thrissur and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by (1) the President, Jamuna Thread Mills Labour Union, Koratty P. O., Thrissur (2) the Secretary, Madhura Coats Employees Union, Koratty P. O., Thrissur (3) the Secretary, Madhura Coats Workers Union, Koratty P. O., Thrissur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the demand for wage hike of workers of M/s Vaigai Thread Processors Pvt. Ltd., Koratty, Thrissur is justifiable ?
2. If not, what relief they are entitled to ?

(15)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 812/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 30th May 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Dr. Gopakumar, Kumar Hospital, Thevally P. O., Kollam and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Kollam District Private Hospital Employees Union, CITU, CITU Bhavan, Kollam-13 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication

to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Leelavathy, Cleaning worker by the management of Kumar Hospital, Thevally, Kollam is justifiable ? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to get ?

(16)

G O. (Rt.) No. 813/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 30th May 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) the President, Nattakom Service Co-operative Society Bank Ltd. No. 3839, Pakkil P. O., Kottayam-686 013 (2) the Secretary, Nattakom Service Co-operative Society Bank Ltd. No. 3839, Pakkil P. O., Kottayam-686 013 and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. Manjumol, P. T., Sougandhika House, Kuzhimattom P. O., Kottayam-686 533 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki (Peermade). The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Manjumol, P. T., Peon, Nattakom Service Co-operative Society Bank Ltd. No. 3839, Pakkil P. O., Kottayam by the management is justifiable ?
2. If not, what relief the worker is entitled to ?

(17)

G O. (Rt.) No. 814/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 30th May 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) the President, Arangil Milk Producer's Co-operative Society Ltd. No. T. 237 (D) APCOS, Kidayarakkonam, Venpakal P. O. (2) the Secretary, Arangil Milk Producer's Co-operative Society Ltd. No. T. 237 (D) APCOS, Kidayarakkonam, Venpakal P. O. and the Worker of the above referred establishment Smt. J. Kamalam, Leju Nilayam, Kidayarakkonam, Arangil, Venpakal P. O., in Respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. J. Kamalam, Cleaner by the management is justifiable ? If not, what are relief she is entitled to ?

(18)

G O. (Rt.) No. 815/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 30th May 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) the President, Nattakom Service Co-operative Society Bank Ltd. No. 3839, Pakkil P. O., Kottayam-686 013 (2) the Secretary, Nattakom Service Co-operative Society Bank Ltd. No. 3839, Pakkil P. O., Kottayam-686 013 and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. Priyamol, K. A., Kunnathu Kizhkethil (Devikripa) House, Kummanam P. O., Kottayam-686 035 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki (Peermade). The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Priyamol, K. A., Peon, Nattakom Service Co-operative Society Bank Ltd. No. 3839, Pakkil P. O., Kottayam by the management is justifiable ?
2. If not, what relief the worker is entitled to ?

By order of the Governor,

A. JUMAILA BEEVI,
Under Secretary to Government.